arXiv:1010.1967v2 [math.HO] 1 Feb 2011

On Pasting and Reversing operations over some rings

Primitivo B. Acosta-Humanez[a,b], Adriana Lorena Chuquen[c], & Ángela Mariette Rodríguez[d]

a Escuela de Caminos, Canales y Puertos - Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain
b Instituto de Matemáticas y sus Aplicaciones (IMA) - Universidad Sergio Arboleda, Santa Marta, Colombia
c Escuela de Matemáticas - Universidad Sergio Arboleda, Bogotá, Colombia
d Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Física - Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá

To Rodrigo Noguera Barreneche, 1892–1972.

Abstract. In this paper we study two operations, Pasting and Reversing, defined from a natural way to be applied over some rings such as the ring of polynomials and the ring of linear differential operators, which is a differential ring. We obtain some properties of these operations over these rings, in particular over the set of natural numbers, in where we rewrite some properties incoming from recreational mathematics.

Keywords and Phrases. Differential rings, operators, pasting, polynomials, reversing
AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. Primary: 08A40, 12H05 Secondary: 16S32, 97A20, 97H40

1. Introduction

In this section we set the historical online and the theoretical background necessaries to understand the rest of the paper.

1.1. Historical background.

Pasting and Reversing are common process for people any time. One idea to become as mathematical operations these process was worked for the first author since 1992. In particular, in 1993 were given some lectures about the case of the natural numbers. These lectures were published ten years after in [**2**].

After, in 2008, these Pasting and Reversing operation were applied to obtain families of *Simple permutations*, see [**1, 3**].

In the present work we introduce Pasting and Reversing operations for the case of the ring of polynomials. In natural way is introduced Pasting and Reversing of natural numbers where some beautiful properties that appears in [**17**] are expressed in terms of these operations.

Finally, we start the study of some properties of differential rings. In particular from *differential Galois theory* (see [**18**]), we start the analysis of these operations over linear differential operators.

1.2. Preliminaries. We recall that a ring is a set R equipped with two binary operations $+ : R\times R \to R$ and $\cdot : R\times R \to R$ satisfying the following requirements:

(1) $\forall a, b \in R,\ a + b \in R$.
(2) $\forall a, b, c \in R,\ a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$.
(3) $\exists 0 \in R$, such that $\forall a \in R,\ 0 + a = a + 0 = a$.
(4) $\forall a \in R,\ \exists b \in R$ such that $a + b = b + a = 0$
(5) $\forall a, b \in R,\ a + b = b + a$.
(6) $\forall a, b \in R,\ a \cdot b \in R$.
(7) $\forall a, b, c \in R,\ (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$.
(8) $\exists 1 \in R$, such that $\forall a \in R,\ 1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$.
(9) $\forall a, b, c \in R,\ a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$.
(10) $\forall a, b, c \in R,\ (a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$.

This definition can be found in any book of algebra see for example [**10**].

We say that R is a differential ring if there exists a derivation ∂ such that

$$\forall a, b \in R, \partial(a + b) = \partial a + \partial b, \quad \partial(a \cdot b) = \partial a \cdot b + a \cdot \partial b,$$

for more details see [**18**].

In particular, we are interested in the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ and in linear differential operators $\mathcal{L}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \partial^k, \quad \text{where} \quad a_k \in K,$$

being K a differential field. The set of operators $\mathcal{L}$ is a differential ring.

2. Pasting and Reversing over Polynomials

In this section we introduce the Pasting and Reversing operations over the ring of polynomials and over the set of natural numbers in where some aspects of recreational mathematics are shown.

2.1. Polynomial case. We only consider polynomials P such that $x \nmid P(x)$. For suitability, we write P as follows:

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} x^{n-k}.$$

We can see clearly that $1 + \deg(P) = Ç(P)$ is the number of coefficients of the polynomial P.

Definition 2.1 (Reversing of Polynomials)**.** *Consider* $P \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ *written as*

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} x^{n-k}, \tag{1}$$

the Reversing of P*, denoted by* $\widetilde{P}$ *is given by*

$$\widetilde{P}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n-k} x^{n-k}, \quad b_{n-k} = a_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{2}$$

Although to work with deg is equivalent to work with Ç, we prefer the last one for our convenience.

Definition 2.1 lead us to the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *Consider the polynomials* P *and* $\widetilde{P}$ *as in equations* (1)*,* (2) *respectively. The following statement holds.*

1. $\widetilde{P}(x) = x^n P(1/x)$*, where* $n + 1 = \text{Ç}(P)$*.*
2. $\widetilde{P}(1/\alpha) = 0$ *if and only if* $P(\alpha) = 0$*.*
3. $\widetilde{P}(x) = (-1)^n(\alpha_1 x - \beta_1)(\alpha_2 x - \beta_2) \dots (\alpha_n x - \beta_n)$ *if and only if* $P(x) = (\beta_1 x - \alpha_1) \cdots (\beta_n x - \alpha_n)$*.*
4. $\widetilde{\widetilde{P}} = P$*.*
5. $\text{Ç}(P) = \text{Ç}(\widetilde{P})$*.*
6. $\widetilde{P + Q} = \widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q}$*, for* $\text{Ç}(P) = \text{Ç}(Q)$*.*
7. $\widetilde{P \cdot Q} = \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q}$*.*

Proof. We start the proof according to each item:

1. By equation (1), we can see that
$$x^n P(1/x) = x^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} (1/x)^{n-k},$$
so that
$$x^n P(1/x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^{n-k},$$
In this way, by equation (2), we have $x^n P(1/x) = \widetilde{P}(x)$.
2. Due to $x \nmid P(x)$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Now, by item 1, taking $x = (1/\alpha)$, we have
$$\widetilde{P}(1/\alpha) = (1/\alpha)^n P(\alpha).$$
By hypothesis $P(\alpha) = 0$, for instance $\widetilde{P}(1/\alpha) = 0$. In similar way for the converse.
3. From item 1, we have
$$\widetilde{P}(x) = x^n (\beta_1(1/x) - \alpha_1) \cdots (\beta_n(1/x) - \alpha_n)$$
in this way,
$$\widetilde{P}(x) = (\beta_1 - \alpha_1 x) \cdots (\beta_n - \alpha_n x),$$
for instance
$$\widetilde{P}(x) = (-1)^n (\alpha_1 x - \beta_1) \cdots (\alpha_n x - \beta_n).$$

In similar way for the converse.

(4) Assume $P(x)$ and $\widetilde{P}(x)$ as in item 3. Thus, we have

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{P}}(x) = (-x)^n(\alpha_1(1/x) - \beta_1)\cdots(\alpha_n(1/x) - \beta_n),$$

so that

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{P}}(x) = (\beta_1 x - \alpha_1)\cdots(\beta_n x - \alpha_n),$$

for instance $\widetilde{\widetilde{P}}(x) = P(x)$.

(5) From item 3 we observe that $\deg(P) = \deg(\widetilde{P})$, thus $\text{Ç}(P) = \text{Ç}(\widetilde{P})$.

(6) Assume that

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k}x^{n-k},\ Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n-k}x^{n-k}.$$

Setting $R = P + Q$ we have that

$$R(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n-k}x^{n-k}, \quad c_j = a_j + b_j, \quad j = 0, \cdots, n.$$

Now, by equation (2) it follows that

$$\widetilde{R}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k x^{n-k},$$

which means, again by equation (2), that $\widetilde{R} = \widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q}$. Thus, we conclude that $\widetilde{P+Q} = \widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q}$.

(7) Assume that

$$P(x) = (\beta_1 x - \alpha_1)\cdots(\beta_n x - \alpha_n),\ Q(x) = (\gamma_1 x - \mu_1)\cdots(\gamma_m x - \mu_m).$$

Setting $R = P \cdot Q$ we have that

$$R(x) = (\beta_1 x - \alpha_1)\cdots(\beta_n x - \alpha_n)(\gamma_1 x - \mu_1)\cdots(\gamma_m x - \mu_m).$$

By item 3 of Proposition (2.2) we have that

$$\widetilde{R}(x) = (-1)^{n+m}(\alpha_1 x - \beta_1)\cdots(\beta_n x - \alpha_n)(\gamma_1 x - \mu_1)\cdots(\gamma_m x - \mu_m),$$

being $\widetilde{R}(x)$ equivalent to

$$[(-1)^n(\alpha_1 x - \beta_1)\cdots(\beta_n x - \alpha_n)][(-1)^m(\gamma_1 x - \mu_1)\cdots(\gamma_m x - \mu_m)].$$

Thus, we obtain $\widetilde{R}(x) = \widetilde{P}(x) \cdot \widetilde{Q}(x)$, which implies that $\widetilde{P \cdot Q} = \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q}$.

Remark 2.3. *From the start we assumed that* $x \nmid P(x)$. *In case that* $x \mid P(x)$, *items* 2 *and* 5 *are false. We recall that items* 4 *and* 5 *also can be proven using only Definition 2.1, i.e., equations* (1) *and* (2).

There are some specific known cases in which we can use the reversing operation over special families of polynomials such as *Bessel polynomials*, see [**5, 9**].

Definition 2.1 lead us to the following definition.

Definition 2.4. *Polynomials* P *and* Q *are called palindromic and antipalindromic polynomials respectively whether they satisfy*

$$\widetilde{P} = P, \quad \widetilde{Q} = -Q.$$

Proposition 2.2 and Definition 2.4, lead us to the following results.

Proposition 2.5. *Let P be a palindromic or antipalindromic polynomial with roots $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$, being $n+1 = Ç(P)$, then*

$$\alpha_{k+j} = 1/\alpha_j, \quad Ç(P) \in \{2k+1, 2k+2\}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, k.$$

Furthermore, if $Ç(P) = 2k+2$ and P is palindromic (respectively antipalindromic), then $\alpha_{2k+1} = -1$ (respectively $\alpha_{2k+1} = 1$).

Proof. By Definition 2.4 and Proposition 2.2, $P(\alpha_j) = 0$ implies that

$$\widetilde{P}(1/\alpha_j) = \pm P(1/\alpha_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \cdots, Ç(P) - 1.$$

Thus, for $Ç(P) = 2k+1$ we can arrange $\alpha_{k+j} = 1/\alpha_j$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$. In the same way for $Ç(P) = 2k+2$, we have $\alpha_{k+j} = 1/\alpha_j$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$ and $\alpha_{2k+1} = 1/\alpha_{2k+1}$, so that $\alpha_{2k+1} = \pm 1$. If $P = \widetilde{P}$, then the signs of the its coefficients must be preserved, so that α_{2k+1} must be -1. Finally, if $\widetilde{P} = -P$ then the signs of the coefficients must be interchanged, so that α_{2k+1} must be 1.

Proposition 2.6. *The following statements holds.*

1. *The addition of two palindromic polynomials, with the same degree, is also a palindromic polynomial.*
2. *The product of two palindromic polynomials is also a palindromic polynomial.*
3. *The addition of two antipalindromic polynomials, with the same degree, is also an antipalindromic polynomial.*
4. *The product of two antipalindromic polynomials is a palindromic polynomial.*
5. *The product of a palindromic polynomial with an antipalindromic polynomial is an antipalindromic polynomial.*

Proof. We prove the proposition according each item.

1. Let P and Q be palindromic polynomials. By item 6 of Proposition 2.2 we have that $\widetilde{P+Q} = \widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q} = P + Q$. In consequence, $P+Q$ is a palindromic polynomial.
2. Let P and Q be palindromic polynomials. By item 7 of Proposition 2.2 we have that $\widetilde{P \cdot Q} = \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q} = P \cdot Q$. In consequence, $P \cdot Q$ is a palindromic polynomial.
3. Let P and Q be antipalindromic polynomials. By item 6 of Proposition 2.2 we have that $\widetilde{P+Q} = \widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q} = -P - Q = -(P+Q)$. In consequence, $P+Q$ is an antipalindromic polynomial.
4. Let P and Q be antipalindromic polynomials. By item 7 of Proposition 2.2 we have that $\widetilde{P \cdot Q} = \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q} = (-P) \cdot (-Q) = PQ$. In consequence, $P \cdot Q$ is a palindromic polynomial.
5. Let P be a palindromic polynomial and let be Q an antipalindromic polynomial. By item 7 of Proposition 2.2 we have that $\widetilde{P \cdot Q} = \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q} = P \cdot (-Q) = -P \cdot Q$. In consequence, $P \cdot Q$ is an antipalindromic polynomial.

The following definition corresponds to a natural example of *orthogonal polynomials*, see [**6, 14, 15**].

Definition 2.7 (Chebyshev polynomials of first kind)**.** *The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, denoted by* T_n*, are defined by the trigonometric identity*

$$T_n(w) = \cos(n \arccos w) = \cosh(n \operatorname{arccosh} w), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

which is equivalent to the identity

$$T_n(\cos(\alpha)) = \cos(n\alpha) = \cosh(n\alpha).$$

Lemma 2.8. *If* w *is given by* $\frac{1}{2}(z+\frac{1}{z})$ *then* $\frac{1}{2}(z^n+\frac{1}{z^n}) = T_n(w)$

Proof. By Definition 2.7 we write

$$T_1(w) = \cos(\alpha) = w$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T_n(w) = \cos(n\alpha),$$

which lead us to

$$T_n(w) = \frac{e^{in\alpha}+e^{-in\alpha}}{2} = \frac{\left(e^{i\alpha}\right)^n + \left(e^{i\alpha}\right)^{-n}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(z^n + z^{-n}).$$

In particular, $w = \frac{1}{2}(z+\frac{1}{z})$.

The following result has been suggested by V. Sokolov.

Proposition 2.9. *Let* P_{2n} *be a palindromic polynomial with coefficients* a_i*,* $0 \leq i \leq 2n$*. Then*

$$\frac{P_{2n}(z)}{2z^n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} T_k(w), \quad w = \frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{1}{z}\right). \tag{3}$$

Proof. By hypothesis $P_{2n}(z) = a_{2n}z^{2n} + a_{2n-1}z^{2n-1} + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0$. Now, due to $P_{2n} = \widetilde{P}_{2n}$, we have that $a_i = a_{2n-i}$, $i = 0,\ldots,2n$. Thus, dividing $P_{2n}(z)$ by $2z^n$ we obtain

$$\frac{P_{2n}(z)}{2z^n} = \frac{a_{2n}z^n + a_{2n-1}z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{2n-1}z^{1-n} + a_{2n}z^{-n}}{2}.$$

Reorganizing the common coefficients we have

$$\frac{P_{2n}(z)}{2z^n} = \frac{a_{2n}}{2}\left(z^n + \frac{1}{z^n}\right) + \frac{a_{2n-1}}{2}\left(z^{n-1}+\frac{1}{z^{n-1}}\right) + \ldots + \frac{1}{2}a_n.$$

By the change of variable $w = \frac{1}{2}(z+\frac{1}{z})$ and Lemma 2.8, the righthand side is $a_{2n}T_n(w) + a_{2n-1}T_{n-1}(w) + \ldots + a_n T_0(w)$ when $a_i = a_{2n-i}$, $i = 0,..,2n$. Thus we can conclude the expression given in equation (3).

The concept of palindromic and antipalindromic polynomials is very ancient, there are a lot of references about these polynomials using the concept of *Reciprocal Polynomials*, see for example [**4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 16**] and references therein. We recall, using the previous references, that P is the reciprocal of Q if $Q = \overline{\widetilde{P}} = P^*$, $\quad z = a+bi$, $\quad \overline{z} = a - bi$,

$$P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0$$

$$Q(z) = \overline{\widetilde{P}}(z) = a_0\overline{z^n} + a_1\overline{z^{n-1}} + \ldots + a_{n-1}\overline{z} + a_n.$$

On another hand, P is self reciprocal if $P = P^* = \widetilde{\overline{P}}$. It is easy to see that for $b = 0$, this means that $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then $P = \widetilde{P}$, that is, P is a palindromic polynomial. In the same way, for antipalindromic polynomials.

Now we introduce the definition of Pasting operation over polynomials.

Definition 2.10. *Pasting of the polynomials P and Q, denoted by $P \diamond Q$, is given by:* $x^{\text{Ç}(Q)} P + Q$.

The following properties are consequences of Definition 2.10.

Proposition 2.11. *Let P, Q, R be polynomials. The following statements holds:*

1. $\tilde{P} \diamond \tilde{Q} = \widetilde{Q \diamond P}$
2. $(P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond (Q \diamond R)$

Proof. We consider separately each item.

1. Let P, Q be polynomials, where
$$P(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{s} a_{s-l} x^{s-l}, \quad Q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{k-j} x^{k-j}.$$
Then, by Definition 2.10 and assuming $R = Q \diamond P$ we have
$$R(x) = x^{s+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{k-j} x^{k-j} + \sum_{l=0}^{s} a_{s-l} x^{s-l} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1} c_{k+s+1-i} x^{k+s+1-i},$$
where the coefficients c_m are given by
$$c_m = \begin{cases} a_m, & 0 \leq m \leq s, \\ b_m, & s+1 \leq m \leq k+s+1. \end{cases}$$
By Definition 2.1 we obtain
$$\widetilde{P}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{s} a_l x^{s-l}, \ \widetilde{Q}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j x^{k-j}, \ \widetilde{R}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1} c_i x^{k+s+1-i}.$$
In consequence, by Definition 2.10 we have that
$$\widetilde{R}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1} c_i x^{k+s+1-i} = x^{k+1} \sum_{l=0}^{s} a_l x^{s-l} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j x^{k-j}.$$
So that we obtain $\widetilde{R} = \widetilde{P} \diamond \widetilde{Q}$.
2. Let P, Q, R polynomials, such that
$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i} x^{k-i}, \ Q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j-i} x^{j-i}, \ R(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} d_{l-i} x^{l-i},$$
where, $\text{Ç}(P) = k+1, \text{Ç}(Q) = j+1$ and $\text{Ç}(R) = l+1$. We write $(P \diamond Q) \diamond R$ by means of Definition 2.1 as follows
$$x^{l+1} \left(x^{j+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i} x^{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j-i} x^{j-i} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} d_{l-i} x^{l-i},$$

it is rewritten as

$$x^{j+l+2}\sum_{i=0}^{k}a_{k-i}x^{k-i}+\left(x^{l+1}\sum_{i=0}^{j}b_{j-i}x^{j-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{l}d_{l-i}x^{l-i}\right),$$

which can be written as

$$x^{j+l+2}\sum_{i=0}^{k}a_{k-i}x^{k-i}+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j}b_{j-i}x^{j-i}\diamond\sum_{i=0}^{l}d_{l-i}x^{l-i}\right).$$

In consequence

$$(P\diamond Q)\diamond R=P\diamond(Q\diamond R).$$

As consequence of Proposition 2.5, which is adapted for pasting of polynomials, we present the following result.

Proposition 2.12. *Let P be a polynomial. The linear polynomial $x+1$ divides to the polynomial $P\diamond\widetilde{P}$.*

Proof. Owing to $Ç(P\diamond\widetilde{P})$ is even and $P\diamond\widetilde{P}$ is palindromic, then, by Proposition 2.5, -1 is root of $P\diamond\widetilde{P}$, so that $x+1\mid P\diamond\widetilde{P}$.

2.2. Natural numbers case. The properties presented before in the polynomial case are very useful for natural numbers choosing $x=10$. For natural numbers, Ç is called *digital cipher*, see [**2**].

We recall that, by previous results, the reversing of $n\in\mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{r}a_j10^{r-j},\quad \text{where}\quad n=\sum_{j=0}^{r}a_{r-j}10^{r-j}.$$

In a natural way, we introduce the concept of *palindrome numbers*: n in palindrome if and only if $n=\widetilde{n}$. In the same way, the pasting of n, $m\in\mathbb{N}$ is given by $10^{Ç(m)}n+m$.
For natural number case Propositions 2.2, 2.11 and 2.12, can be summarized in the following result.

Proposition 2.13. *Let n, m, $p\in\mathbb{N}$, the following statements holds:*

1. $\widetilde{\widetilde{n}}=n$
2. $\tilde{n}\diamond\tilde{m}=\widetilde{m\diamond n}$
3. $(m\diamond n)\diamond p=m\diamond(n\diamond p)$
4. *If n is palindrome and $Ç(n)$ is even, then 11 is a divisor of n.*
5. $11|n\diamond\tilde{n}$.

In general the properties presented in polynomial case for the operations of the ring $(+,\cdot)$ are not true for natural numbers, although doing some restrictions we can obtain similar results as presented before.

As application of pasting and reversing operations over natural numbers, we can rewrite some mathematical games such as the presented in [**17**]. For suitability to our purposes, we introduce the following notation

$$\Diamond_{k=0}^{n}a_k:=a_0\diamond a_1\diamond\ldots\diamond a_n. \tag{4}$$

The following mathematical games can be found in [**17**], but here we use our approach.

(1) $(\Diamond_{k=0}^{n} 9-k)\cdot 9+9-(n+2)=\Diamond_{k=0}^{n+1}8$, where $n\leq 9$. This can de expanded as:

$$\begin{aligned} 9\times 9+7&=88\\ 98\times 9+6&=888\\ 987\times 9+5&=8888\\ 9876\times 9+4&=88888\\ 98765\times 9+3&=888888\\ 987654\times 9+2&=8888888\\ 9876543\times 9+1&=88888888\\ 98765432\times 9+0&=888888888\\ 987654321\times 9-1&=8888888888 \end{aligned}$$

(2) We know that $1^2=1$, now, for $0<n<9$,we have

$$(\Diamond_{k=0}^{n}1)^2=\Diamond_{k=0}^{n}(k+1)\diamond\widetilde{\Diamond_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1)}.$$

This can be expanded as:

$$\begin{aligned} 1\times 1&=1\\ 11\times 11&=121\\ 111\times 111&=12321\\ 1111\times 1111&=1234321\\ 11111\times 11111&=123454321\\ 111111\times 111111&=12345654321\\ 1111111\times 1111111&=1234567654321\\ 11111111\times 11111111&=123456787654321\\ 111111111\times 111111111&=12345678987654321 \end{aligned}$$

3. Pasting and Reversing over Differential Operators

We consider linear differential operators

$$\mathcal{L}=a_n\partial^n+a_{n-1}\partial^{n-1}+\ldots+a_1\partial+a_0,\quad a_0\neq 0,\quad a_i\in K,$$

where $i=0,1,\ldots,n$ and K is a *differential field*, see [**18**]. Solutions of linear differential equations are related with the factorization of linear differential operators, see [**12**].

From now on we understand as differential operators the linear differential operators. For suitability, we write $\mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_{n-k}\partial^{n-k}.$$

As in previous cases, we denote by $\c{C}(\mathcal{L})$ the number of coefficients of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$. For instance, if the order of $\mathcal{L}$ is n, then $\c{C}(\mathcal{L})=n+1$.

Definition 3.1 (Reversing of Differential Operators). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a differential operator written as*

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_{n-k}\partial^{n-k},\quad a_0\neq 0,\quad a_i\in K. \tag{5}$$

The Reversing of $\mathcal{L}$, denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n-k}\partial^{n-k}, \quad b_{n-k} = a_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n. \tag{6}$$

Definition 3.1 lead us to the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be differential operators as in equations* (5), (6) *respectively. The following statement holds.*

(1) $\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \mathcal{L}$.
(2) $Ç(\mathcal{L}) = Ç(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$.
(3) $\widetilde{\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{R}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$, *for* $Ç(\mathcal{L}) = Ç(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. Items 1 and 2 are consequences of the Definition 3.1. Item 3 is proven in similar way to polynomial case.

Remark 3.3. *In general, there is not relationship between* $\ker \mathcal{L}$ *and* $\ker \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. *Using* Differential Galois Theory, *see* [**18**], *it can be shown that* $e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \in \ker(\partial^2 + 1 - x^2)$, *while there are not* Liouvillian functions *in* $\ker((1 - x^2)\partial^2 + 1)$.

As particular case, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume* $Ç(\mathcal{L}) = 2$, $y \in \ker \mathcal{L}$ *and* $u \in \ker \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. *Then* $(\partial \ln y)(\partial \ln u) = 1$.

Proof. Solving the linear differential equations $\mathcal{L}y = 0$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}u = 0$, we obtain

$$e^{-\int \frac{a_0}{a_1}} \in \ker \mathcal{L}, \quad e^{-\int \frac{a_1}{a_0}} \in \ker \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Thus, $(\partial \ln y)(\partial \ln u) = 1$.

Definition 3.1, as in polynomial case, lead us to the following definition.

Definition 3.5. *Differential operators $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are called palindromic and antipalindromic differential operators respectively whether they satisfy*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{R}} = -\mathcal{R}.$$

Proposition 3.2 and Definition 3.5 lead us to the following results.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be palindromic and antipalindromic differential operators respectively, being* $Ç(\mathcal{L}) = Ç(\mathcal{R}) = 2k$. *Then there exist differential operators $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}(\partial + 1), \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T}(\partial - 1).$$

Proof. We can see that $e^{-x} \in \ker(\partial + 1)$ and $e^{x} \in \ker(\partial - 1)$. Now, due to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}$, then $a_{2k-1-i} = a_i$ and $\partial^{2k-1-i}e^{-x} = -\partial^i e^{-x}$. In this way, $e^{-x} \in \ker \mathcal{L}$, which means that there exists $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}(\partial + 1)$. On another hand, owing to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} = -\mathcal{R}$ then $a_{2k-1-i} = -a_i$ and $\partial^{2k-1-i}e^{x} = \partial^i e^{x}$. In this way, $e^{x} \in \ker \mathcal{R}$, which means that there exists $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T}(\partial - 1)$.

We recall that differential operators $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are *left divisors* of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ respectively. In the same way, $\partial + 1$ and $\partial - 1$ are *right divisors* of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ respectively. For further details see [**12**].

Proposition 3.7. *The following statements holds.*

1. *The addition of two palindromic differential operators, with the same order, is also a palindromic differential operator.*
2. *The addition of two antipalindromic differential operators, with the same order, is also an antipalindromic differential operator.*

Proof. We proceed exactly as in Proposition 2.6 for the polynomial case, using Definition 3.5 and item 3 of Proposition 3.2.

Now we introduce the definition of Pasting operation over differential operators.

Definition 3.8. *Pasting of the differential operators $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}\diamond\mathcal{R}$, is given by:* $\mathcal{L}\partial^{\text{Ç}(\mathcal{R})}+\mathcal{L}$.

The following properties, adapted from Proposition 2.11, are consequences of Definition 3.8.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be differential operators. The following statements holds:*

1. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\diamond\tilde{\mathcal{R}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}\diamond\mathcal{L}}$
2. $(\mathcal{L}\diamond\mathcal{R})\diamond\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{L}\diamond(\mathcal{R}\diamond\mathcal{S})$

Proof. We consider separately each item.

(1) Let $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{R}$ be differential operators, where

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{l=0}^{s}a_{s-l}\partial^{s-l},\quad \mathcal{R}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}b_{k-j}\partial^{k-j}.$$

Then, by Definition 3.8 and assuming $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{R}\diamond\mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}b_{k-j}\partial^{k-j}\right)\partial^{s+1}+\sum_{l=0}^{s}a_{s-l}\partial^{s-l}=\sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1}c_{k+s+1-i}\partial^{k+s+1-i},$$

where the coefficients c_m are given by

$$c_m=\begin{cases} a_m, & 0\leq m\leq s,\\ b_m, & s+1\leq m\leq k+s+1.\end{cases}$$

By Definition 3.1 we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\sum_{l=0}^{s}a_l\partial^{s-l},\ \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}b_j\partial^{k-j},\ \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}=\sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1}c_i\partial^{k+s+1-i}.$$

In consequence, by Definition 3.8 we have that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}=\sum_{i=0}^{k+s+1}c_i\partial^{k+s+1-i}=\left(\sum_{l=0}^{s}a_l\partial^{s-l}\right)\partial^{k+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k}b_j\partial^{k-j}.$$

So that we obtain $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\diamond\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{R},\mathcal{S}$ differential operators, such that

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}a_{k-i}\partial^{k-i},\ \mathcal{R}=\sum_{i=0}^{j}b_{j-i}\partial^{j-i},\ \mathcal{S}=\sum_{i=0}^{l}d_{l-i}\partial^{l-i},$$

where, $\c{C}(\mathcal{L}) = k+1, \c{C}(\mathcal{R}) = j+1$ and $\c{C}(\mathcal{S}) = l+1$. We write $(\mathcal{L}\diamond\mathcal{R})\diamond\mathcal{S}$ by means of Definition 3.1 as follows

$$\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i}\partial^{k-i}\right)\partial^{j+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j-i}\partial^{j-i}\right)\partial^{l+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{l} d_{l-i}\partial^{l-i},$$

it is rewritten as

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i}\partial^{k-i}\right)\partial^{j+l+2} + \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j-i}\partial^{j-i}\right)\partial^{l+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{l} d_{l-i}\partial^{l-i}\right),$$

which can be written as

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i}\partial^{k-i}\right)\partial^{j+l+2} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j-i}\partial^{j-i} \diamond \sum_{i=0}^{l} d_{l-i}\partial^{l-i}\right).$$

In consequence

$$(\mathcal{L}\diamond\mathcal{R})\diamond\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}\diamond(\mathcal{R}\diamond\mathcal{S}).$$

As consequence of Proposition 3.6, which is adapted for pasting of polynomials, we present the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a differential operator. The operator $\partial + 1$ is a right divisor of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}\diamond\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. Owing to $\c{C}(\mathcal{L}\diamond\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is even and $\mathcal{L}\diamond\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is palindromic, then, by Proposition 3.6, $e^{-x} \in \ker P\diamond\widetilde{P}$, so that $\mathcal{T}(\partial+1) = P\diamond\widetilde{P}$, for some differential operator $\mathcal{T}$.

The following results are particular cases of differential operators in where the differential field is considered as $K = \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 3.11. *Consider $\mathcal{L}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as in equations (5), (6) respectively, being $K = \mathbb{C}$. The following statement holds.*

1. $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \partial^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k}\partial^{k-n}$, *where* $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k}\partial^{n-k}$.
2. $x^k e^{-\lambda_i x} \in \ker\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ *if and only if* $x^k e^{\lambda_i x} \in \ker\mathcal{L}$.
3. $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = (-1)^n(\alpha_1\partial - \beta_1)(\alpha_2\partial-\beta_2)\dots(\alpha_n\partial-\beta_n)$ *if and only if* $\mathcal{L} = (\beta_1\partial - \alpha_1)(\beta_2\partial-\alpha_2)\cdots(\beta_n\partial-\alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$.
4. $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}\cdot\mathcal{R}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\cdot\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$.
5. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a palindromic (or antipalindromic) differential operator such that* $\{x^{i_1}e^{\lambda_1 x}, \cdots, x^{i_r}e^{\lambda_r x}\} \subset \ker\mathcal{L}$, *then*

$$e^{\lambda_{k+j}x} = e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad r \in \{2k, 2k+1\}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, k.$$

6. *The product of two palindromic differential operators is also a palindromic differential operator.*
7. *The product of two antipalindromic differential operators is a palindromic differential operator.*
8. *The product of a palindromic differential operator with an antipalindromic differential operator is an antipalindromic differential operator.*

Proof. It follows since the characteristic polynomial satisfy the same properties (see Propositions 2.2, 2.5, 2.6) and due to $\partial a = a\partial$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Final Remark

This paper is one starting point to develop several research projects such as:

- Applications of Pasting and Reversing over vectorial spaces and matrices.
- Applications of Pasting and Reversing over polynomials in several variables.
- Applications of Pasting and Reversing over general differential operators.
- Applications of Pasting and Reversing over general difference and q - difference operators.
- Applications of Pasting and Reversing over general simple permutations and combinatorial dynamics.
- Applications of Pasting and Reversing in physics, particularly in *supersymmetric quantum mechanics.*

There are papers in which this approach can be applied, see for example [**4, 8**] for the polynomial case. We hope that the material presented here can be useful for the interested reader.

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author has been supported by Universidad Sergio Arboleda and by the MCyT-FEDER Grant MTM2006-00478, Spanish Government. Part of this research was presented by the second and third author at XVII Colombian Congress of Mathematics, developed in Cali on August 2009. The authors thank to Jesús Hernando Pérez, Vladimir Sokolov and David Blázquez-Sanz by their useful comments and suggestions on this work.

References

[1] P.B. Acosta-Humánez, *Genealogy of simple permutations with order a power of two* (Spanish). Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas, **42**, (2008) no. 1, 1–14.

[2] P.B. Acosta-Humánez, *Pasting operation and the square of natural numbers* (Spanish), Civilizar, **4**, (2003) 85–97.

[3] P.B. Acosta-Humánez, O.E. Martínez, *Simple permutations with order* $4n+2$. Preprint 2010. arXiv:1012.2076

[4] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, *Coding with skew polynomial rings*, Prépublication IRMAR 08-07, to appear in Journal of Symbolic Computation.

[5] F. Brafman, *A set generating functions of the Bessel polynomials*, Proc. American Mathematical Society, **4**, (1953) 275–277.

[6] T. Chihara, "An Introduction to Orthogonal polynomials", Gordon and Breach (1978)

[7] H. Eves, "Elementary Matrix Theory", Dover, 1980.

[8] L. Garza, J. Hernández, F. Marcellán, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the unit circle. The Geronimus transformations*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **233** (2010), 1220–1231.

[9] E. Grosswald, *On some algebraic propieties of the Bessel polynomials*, Trans. American Mathematical Society, **71**, (1957) 197–210.

[10] I.N. Herstein, "Topics in Algebra", Wiley, 2 edition, 1975.

[11] C. Hillar, *Cyclic resultants*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **39**, (2005), no. 6, 653–669.

[12] M. van Hoeij, *Factorization of Differential Operators with Rational Functions Coefficients*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **24**, (1997), 537–561.

[13] P. Lakatos, *On zeros of reciprocal polynomials*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **61** (2002), no. 3–4, 645–661.

[14] J. C. Mason, D. C. Handscomb, "Chebyshev polynomials", Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.

[15] “The Chebyshev polynomials, Pure and Applied Mathematics”. Wiley-Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1974.

[16] B. Sagan, “Schur functions in algebraic combinatorics”, in Hazewinkel, Michiel, encyclopaedia of mathematics, Springer, 2001.

[17] M. Tahan, “The man who counted: a collection of mathematical adventures”, WW Norton & Company, 1993.

[18] M. van der Put, M. Singer, “Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations”, Springer, Berlin, 2003.